(1) (3)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR**
**CHHATTISGARH**

Single Bench

**WRIT PETITION NO. 1864 /2006**

A.A. Firdosi,
S/o- Shri Abdul Hamid,
Aged about 54 years,
Sub Engineer, W.R.D.
Presently working as In-charge S.D.O.
in R.E.S., Bagicha, on deputation,
Distt. Jashpur,
Chhattisgarh. **PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through - Secretary,
Department of Water Resources,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya,
Raipur, C.G.,

2. The Engineer-in-Chief,
Department of Water Resources,
Raipur, C.G.

3. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through – Secretary,
Department of Water Resources,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal,
M.P. **RESPONDENTS**

RECEIVED COPY 5-11-06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

P.R. No. 1914/26 Presented by Shri P. Sharma dated 5/11/06

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC.**

XI-HC-22

57

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1864/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**21-4-2006**

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri G.K. Beriwal, Dy. Advocate General and Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Govt. Advocate for the State of Chhattisgarh.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed in the year 1979 and he has not been promoted whereas persons junior to him were promoted. Some persons filed petitions before the State Administrative Tribunal, which was allowed by the Tribunal vide its order dated 15-2-1999 as per Annexure-P/3. It is submitted that similar persons situated with the petitioner are also filed writ petition No.160/2002 (***Umesh Chandra Singh & Others vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others***), the same has been decided by this Court vide order dated 20-2-2006 (Annexure-P/8). Learned counsel prays for similar order in this case also.

Not opposed.

In view of the above submission, the respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with the judgment and order dated 15-2-1999 passed by the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Bhopal Bench. The petitioner is entitled to the same relief with all consequential benefits of promotion etc. on the post according to his seniority, pursuant to the order dated 15-2-1999 passed by the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal on the higher post w.e.f. the same date when juniors of the petitioner were given the promotion and other consequential benefits.

In view of the foregoing, the writ petition is allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Gowri

[पीछे देखिये

26-4-06